

COURT NO. 1
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

OA 2347/2019

Wg Cdr Kameshwar Prasad Mishra (Retd) Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Ors. Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Baljeet Singh, Advocate
For Respondents : Mr. K K Tyagi, Advocate

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

ORDER

OA 2347/2019

Invoking the jurisdiction of this Tribunal under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, the applicant filed this OA praying to direct the respondents to accept the disabilities of the applicant as attributable to/aggravated by military service and grant disability pension @20% rounded off to 50% with effect from the date of retirement of the applicant; along with all consequential benefits.

2. The applicant was commissioned in the Indian Air Force on 29.06.1996 and retired on 31.07.2018. The Release Medical Board dated 06.02.2018 held that the applicant was

OA 2347/2019
Wg Cdr Kameshwar Prasad Mishra (Retd)

fit to be discharged from service in low medical category A4G4(P) for the disability –DIABETES MELLITUS Type II @ 20% for life while the qualifying element for disability pension was recorded as NIL for life on account of disability being treated as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service (NANA).

3. The claim of the applicant for grant of disability pension was rejected vide letter No. Air HQ/99797/4016/Dis/O/DAV-1(B) dated 02.11.2018 stating that the aforesaid disability was considered as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service. Subsequently, the first appeal was preferred by the applicant on 06.12.2018 and the same was considered and rejected by the First Appellate Committee. The applicant did not prefer his second and final appeal. In the interest of the justice we take up this OA for adjudication.

4. Placing reliance on the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Dharamvir Singh v. UOI & Ors [2013 (7) SCC 36]*, Ld. Counsel for applicant argued that no note of any disability was recorded in the service documents of the applicant at the time of the entry into the service, and that he served in the Army at various places in different

OA 2347/2019
Wg Cdr Kameshwar Prasad Mishra

environmental and service conditions in his prolonged service, thereby, any disability at the time of his service is deemed to be attributable to or aggravated by military service.

5. Per Contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that under the provisions of Rule 153 of the Pension Regulations for the Indian Air Force, 1961 (Part-I), the primary condition for grant of disability pension is invalidation out of service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by Air Force service and is assessed @ 20% or more.

6. Relying on the aforesaid provision, learned counsel for respondents further submitted that the aforesaid disability of the applicant was assessed as "neither attributable to nor aggravated" by Air Force service and not connected with the Air Force service and as such, the applicant's claim was rejected; thus, the applicant is not entitled for grant of disability pension due to policy constraints.

7. On the careful perusal of the materials available on record and also the submissions made on behalf of the parties, we are of the opinion that it is not in dispute that the extent of disability was assessed to be above 20% which is the

bare minimum for grant of disability pension in terms of Regulation 153 of the Pension Regulations for the Indian Air Force, 1961 (Part-I). The only question that arises in the above backdrop is whether the disability suffered by the applicant was attributable to or aggravated by Air Force service.

8. The issue of attributability of disease is no longer res integra in view of the verdict of the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Dharamvir Singh v. Union of India (supra)*, wherein it is clearly spelt out that any disease contracted during service is presumed to be attributable to military service, if there is no record of any ailment at the time of commission into the Military Service.

9. Furthermore, the issue regarding the attributability of Diabetes Mellitus has been settled by the *Hon'ble Supreme Court in Commander Rakesh Pande v. Union of India (Civil Appeal No. 5970 of 2019)* wherein the Apex Court has not only held that the Diabetes Mellitus is a disease which is of permanent nature and will entitle the applicant to disability pension, but also observed that in case where the disability is of permanent nature, the disability assessed by the Medical

Board shall be treated for life and cannot be restricted for specific period.

10. Regarding broadbanding benefits, we find that the *Hon'ble Supreme Court in its order dated 10.12.2014 in Union of India v. Ram Avtar, Civil Appeal No. 418 of 2012* and connected cases, has observed that individuals similarly placed as the applicant are entitled to rounding off the disability element of pension. We also find that the Government of India vide its Letter No. F.No.3(11)2010-D (Pen/Legal) Pt V, Ministry of Defence dated 18th April 2016 has issued instructions for implementation of the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 10.12.2014 (supra).

11. Applying the above parameters to the case at hand, we are of the view that the applicant has been discharged from service in low medical category on account of medical disease/disability, the disability must be presumed to have arisen in the course of service which must, in the absence of any reason recorded by the Medical Board, be presumed to have been attributable to or aggravated by air force service.

12. Therefore, in view of our analysis, the OA is allowed and Respondents are directed to grant benefit of disability pension for the disability of Diabetes Mellitus @ 20% for life

OA 2347/2019
Wg Cdr Kameshwar Prasad Mi

rounded off to 50% for life in view of judgement of Hon'ble Apex Court in Union of India versus Ram Avtar (supra) from the date of his discharge i.e. 31.07.2018. The arrears shall be payable to the applicant within four months of the receipt of a copy of this order failing which it shall earn interest @ 6% p.a. till the actual date of payment.

13. Consequently, the OA 2347/2019 is allowed.

14. No order as to costs.

15. Pronounced in open Court on this the 16 day of May 2024.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON

[REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG]
MEMBER (A)

/jyoti/